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## Overview of Institution

ECU has a proud heritage with a mission of teaching, research, and service. Its commitment to the region is an expression of its motto, "Servire," To Serve. Chartered in 1907 as East Carolina Teachers Training School (ECTTS), ECU has continually served the region with quality and commitment. In 1972, ECU joined the UNC System, becoming the third largest university in the system, and the College of Education (COE) is its founding college.

ECU has several initiatives designed to renew and raise its level of impact and visibility on the region. We will lead in student success, public service, and regional transformation. ECU is accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS) to award baccalaureate, master, and doctoral degrees. ECU's conceptual framework for preparing education professionals focuses on empowering all learners in all educational endeavors and achieving excellence through partnership.

The EPP unit at ECU consistently produces the most educational professionals in the state annually. Many graduates teach and lead in the eastern part of NC within The Walter and Daisy Carson Latham Clinical Schools Network (LCSN) and continue to be valued partners with the EPP and ECU Pirate Nation. In 2019, NCTQ rated ECU its Outstanding Program with inclusion in its Start Here to Become a Teacher publication. ECU had a finalist for the NCACTE STOY award in the 2017-2018 competition. ECU is a leader nationally in co-teaching and co-planning as a model for student teaching/internship.

## Special Characteristics

ECU continues to be a leader in edTPA implementation and research. All teacher candidates in all 17 initial teacher licensure programs complete the national performance assessment by creating an electronic portfolio documenting their ability to plan, instruct and assess learning for P-12 students. All portfolios are officially scored by Pearson. This assessment will be a statemandated requirement with a licensure consequence beginning next academic year 2019-2020; however, ECU faculty and administrators implemented edTPA as consequential several years ago as they believed and embraced it as the most appropriate tool for determining a candidate's readiness to teacher. Our faculty members continue to research, present and publish our work involving edTPA and continuous program improvement. ECU is one of the key IHEs to provide edTPA data to EPIC for research purposes.

The COE continues to expand the use of Mursion, a virtual platform technology, into its degree programs allowing candidates at all levels a safe, realistic venue to practice instructional delivery, conferences, and other teaching and learning protocols, such as classroom management, giving student feedback, and parent meetings.

## Program Areas and Levels Offered

At the undergraduate level, East Carolina University offers 18 initial teacher preparation programs covering 22 different areas of licensure. In addition, ECU has three add-on areas, one area of endorsement.

Initial Teaching Areas
Art, K-12
Birth-Kindergarten
Dance, K-12 (last admission date 2019-2020),
Elementary, K-6
English, 9-12
Family and Consumer Sciences, 7-12
French, K-12
German, K-12
Health and Physical Education, K-12
Middle Grades 6-9 (Language Arts, Mathematics, Science and Social Studies, candidates choose 2 of the 4) of
Mathematics, 9-12

Music, K-12
Physical Education, K-12
Science, 9-12
Social Studies, 9-12
Spanish, K-12
Special Education - Adapted Curriculum, K-12
Spcial Education - General Curriculum; K-12
Theatre Arts, K-12
Add-On
Reading, K-12
Academically and Intelecually Gifted, K-12, Elementary Mathematics K-6

Endorsement
Computer Education, K-12

## Pathways Offered (Place an ' X ' under each of the options listed below that your IHE provides)

| Traditional | Lateral Entry | Residency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X X | X |  |

Brief description of unit/institutional efforts to promote SBE priorities.
For the report, briefly describe your current efforts or future plans to the recent legislative provisions below.

Share the extent to which your EPP prepares educators, including general education teachers and special education teachers, to effectively teach students with disabilities.

ECU uses a tiered approach:

1) All general classroom teachers are required to take one of two courses entitled, "Introduction to Exceptional Children" or "Exceptional Students in the Regular Classroom." These courses provide a foundation of knowledge and skills fundamental to effective teaching of individuals with exceptionalities in the regular classroom with an emphasis on individualization, content modification, instructional techniques, and classroom management across the spectrum of special needs, including gifted education.
2) All regular education students are required to take at least one literacy course, to understand reading and writing difficulties, and reading in the content area and its importance to a student's ability to acquire academic language, including students for whom English is not the first language. Elementary education candidates take several classes in literacy instruction.
3) In the methods courses, general education candidates learn to build lessons/units of instruction that include modifications/differentiation to meet the needs of students in their classrooms, including ELL and gifted students.
4) Candidates are instructed in the use of research-based instructional strategies that increase student engagement and learning. Developmentally, candidates will learn declarative knowledge, procedural knowledge and then demonstrate conditional knowledge of when to use researchbased strategies in the year-long student teaching experience (Internship I and Internship II). This information also addresses UDL, ESL, and differentiation at all levels.
5) Lastly, during student teaching/internship, candidates review student IEPs, attend IEP meetings and are required to meet student IEP goals, just as their clinical teachers (CT) are required to do. Candidates must also implement their learning segment for the edTPA, which has differentiated instruction and assessment for all students in their classrooms. Candidates are formally observed and evaluated a minimum of 4 times by the University Supervisor, in addition to daily coaching by the Clinical Teacher to discuss their progress in teaching and assessing their students' achievement.

Special Education candidates take a series of courses with significant field/clinical experiences and time associated with them. They begin early in the program, sophomore year, getting accustomed to being with exceptional students in a classroom setting, with a classroom teacher modeling best practice. They take an assessment course where they learn comprehensive and socially responsible approaches to formal and informal assessment, including legal policies and procedures for IEPs, 504 plans, etc.

Candidates also take a Managing the Learning Environment course where they learn to incorporate developmental understanding of behavior, effective teaching, and social emotional learning of students across the spectrum. There are instructional programming and instructional methods components, that are paired with another field experience in the junior year. SPED candidates take methods courses in reading/literacy, mathematics, and science content areas; and receive an academic concentration in reading, learning to identify and diagnose students with learning issues. Our candidates also take an assistive technology course where they learn innovative and useful tools to allow students with disabilities and ELL students to communicate and participate in class at their highest level.

A key piece of the SPED program is a communication and collaboration component, where candidates learn to interact with families, community agencies, school assistance teams (IEPs, placement, identification) and other professionals to fully develop a child's potential. SPED candidates also complete the edTPA in their Internship year.

Share the extent to which your EPP prepares educators, including general education teachers and special education teachers, to effectively teach students of limited English proficiency.

All regular education students are required to take at least one literacy course, to understand reading and writing difficulties, and reading in the content area and its importance to a student's ability to acquire academic language, including students for whom English is not the first language. Elementary education candidates take several classes in literacy instruction.

In the methods courses, general education candidates learn to build lessons/units of instruction that include modifications/differentiation to meet the needs of students in their classrooms, including ELL and gifted students.

Candidates are instructed in the use of research-based instructional strategies that increase student engagement and learning. Developmentally, candidates will learn declarative knowledge, procedural knowledge and then demonstrate conditional knowledge of when to use researchbased strategies in the year-long student teaching experience (Internship I and Internship II). This information also addresses UDL, ESL, and differentiation at all levels.

During student teaching/internship, candidates review student IEPs, attend IEP meetings and are required to meet student IEP goals, just as their clinical teachers (CT) are required to do. Candidates must also implement their learning segment for the edTPA, which has differentiated instruction and assessment for all students in their classrooms. Candidates are formally observed and evaluated a minimum of 4 times by the University Supervisor, in addition to daily coaching by the Clinical Teacher to discuss their progress in teaching and assessing their students' achievement.

The activities offered by the program that are designed to prepare educators to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, including activities consistent with the principals of university design for learning.

ECU has fully implemented the edTPA in all program areas. All candidates in all program areas use TaskStream to upload and manage their teacher education program and licensure signature assessments. In addition, faculty are using TaskStream to review and give feedback on candidate work in courses and in the field.
We use a three-tiered approach to technology instruction and integration.

1) All teacher education students at ECU are required to take and pass a basic technology skills course, or to pass a challenge exam for the course, to be officially admitted to the program.
2) All students must take and pass an educational technology course where they learn to integrate technology into teaching, use technology tools to manage student data, analyze assessment data of their students, and modify instruction based on the data, and implementing UDL principles and strategies. This
includes the use of TaskStream, various software packages related to their content, SMART boards, some assistive technologies, and commercial reading programs. Candidates also complete a TPACK lesson plan showing technology integration into their planning and instruction.
3) During internship/student teaching candidates put \#2 above into practice at their internship sites. As part of the edTPA, candidates prepare a unit of instruction and complete the three required tasks. After completing the classroom commentary, they plan, implement, and assess the teaching episodes. Lastly, they complete a directed reflection and reflect on their teaching and the learning outcomes of their students.

Tech tools are used to accomplish a lot of these requirements such as the use of smart boards for instruction, READ 3D, laptops, Chromebook, e-textbooks; google docs for shared learning. During Internship candidates are evaluated on the North Carolina Evaluation Rubric for Preservice Teacher Candidates based on the exact same 5 North Carolina Professional Teaching Standards as all in-service teachers. These standards address 21st century use of technologies in teaching and
learning. University Supervisors and clinical teachers must certify in writing to the IHE that candidates have met proficiency before licensure recommendations can be finalized.

The activities offered by the program that are designed to prepare educators to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement.

We use a three-tiered approach to technology instruction and integration.

1) All teacher education students at ECU are required to take and pass a basic technology skills course, or to pass a challenge exam for the course, to be officially admitted to the program.
2) All students must take and pass an educational technology course where they learn to integrate technology into teaching, use technology tools to manage student data, analyze assessment data of their students, and modify instruction based on the data, and implementing UDL principles and strategies. This
includes the use of TaskStream, various software packages related to their content, SMART boards, some assistive technologies, and commercial reading programs. Candidates also complete a TPACK lesson plan showing technology integration into their planning and instruction.
3) During internship/student teaching candidates put \#2 above into practice at their internship sites. As part of the edTPA, candidates prepare a unit of instruction and complete the three required tasks. After completing the classroom commentary, they plan, implement, and assess the teaching episodes. Lastly, they complete a directed reflection and reflect on their teaching and the learning outcomes of their students.

Tech tools are used to accomplish a lot of these requirements such as the use of smart boards for instruction, READ 3D, laptops, Chromebook, e-textbooks; google docs for shared learning. During Internship candidates are evaluated on the North Carolina Evaluation Rubric for Preservice Teacher Candidates based on the exact same 5 North Carolina Professional Teaching

Standards as all in-service teachers. These standards address 21st century use of technologies in teaching and
learning. University Supervisors and clinical teachers must certify in writing to the IHE that candidates have met proficiency before licensure recommendations can be finalized.

Candidates (preparing to teach in elementary schools) are prepared to integrate arts education across the curriculum.

Candidates in Elementary Education are prepared to:
a. Integrate arts education across the curriculum through the successful completion of the following required courses:

- ART 3850 - Art in the Elementary School (3)
- MUSC 3048 - Music for Exceptional Children (2)
b. Create thematic learning segments designed to integrate arts and music as learned in the above two noted courses. Through multiple field placements prior to student teaching, candidates observe, assist, and teach with licensed teachers who integrate the arts in their classrooms.


## Explain how your program(s) and unit conduct self-study.

Candidate performance data is collected and kept by the Office of Assessment, Data Management and Digital Literacy (OADD). Reports on this data are regularly produced and shared with faculty at the end of the fall and spring semesters. Department chairs and faculty may submit a request for data at any time during the year. In June, the OADD hosts the annual Data Summit, in which performance data (including edTPA scores) are shared with department chairs and lead faculty members. The purpose of the Data Summit is to share EPP performance data with faculty and discuss strategic plans for program improvement. Many departments subsequently hold their own data summits focusing on data specific to their programs. The Data Summit illustrates the way in which faculty are continually positioned and informed to make programmatic decisions. Their responsibilities as "curriculum-makers" are supported, not supplanted, by the data analysis process.
In addition to the data summits, faculty and staff form collaborative research groups around planned studies of practice. Our planned studies of practice address both systemic teacher education elements and data-driven program improvements. Examples include early experience observations, core instructional strategies, co-teaching and internship support. We explore the strengths and weaknesses of teacher candidate performance allowing us to determine what targeted innovations result in more effective educator preparation programs. The overall work results in a more cohesive, structured, and data-driven preparation program.

The following assessment review process in the College, in conjunction with the institutional review process, is used to ensure that all programs conduct meaningful assessment and that results are analyzed and used to improve candidate learning outcomes. The Director of OADD serves as the chair of the College's Assessment Review Committee. The purpose of the
committee is to review the annual reports that were written and submitted by the unit assessment coordinators during the previous spring semester. At the fall meeting of the Assessment Review Committee, members review exemplars of reports to build rating consistency. Conversations among committee members are highly valued and help develop inter-rater reliability. Scoring assignments and timelines are discussed as committee members work collaboratively to develop guidelines for the review process. The committee meets to go over the review process and ask any questions that arise. Once the assessment reviews for all programs are entered in the database using the institution's online rubric, the committee reflects on the process and feedback is collected via Qualtrics and compiled by the OADD. The Director of OADD works with department chairs and faculty to ensure all feedback from the review is made available to the unit assessment coordinators and that it is addressed, implemented, and adopted in a timely fashion.

Licensure exam scores, NCEES data, and EVAAS data are reviewed yearly by the assistant dean for undergraduate affairs and educator preparation. These results are shared in the Council for Educator Preparation (CEP) yearly. Implications from data for changes to policies are discussed in this venue, and if needed, brought forth to the full council for vote by the corresponding CEP committee, i.e. Admissions and Retention, Evaluation and Planning, Policy, or Curriculum.

Provide a description of field experiences to occur every semester including a full semester in a low performing school prior to student teaching.

Currently, at a minimum, our programs have an early field experience (Sophomore or Junior I level), a Junior level experience (Junior I or Junior II depending on program) and a two semester Internship (Senior year). ECU partners with 43 school districts within its Latham Clinical Schools Network. Placements in low-performing schools will be tracked via the teacher education management system currently in place and with data published by NCDPI regarding school designations. Where there are no low-performing designated schools, ECU will work with school districts to use "low-performing classrooms" or classrooms with students who are working below grade level to ensure these experiences for our candidates. The ECU Lab School, opened in the 2017-2018, is completing its second school year, and placements for some junior and senior candidates have been designated at this site, which is a low performing school, as designated by law to serve level 1 and level 2 students.

How will student teaching be scheduled to allow for experiences to occur at both the beginning and end of the school year?

Currently, Interns are able to see the start of school during the Intern I semester for fall/spring cycle internships and during the Intern II semester for spring/fall cycle Internships, when invited to do so by the school site. Provisions are made to invite interns to be present at the end of the school year, if practicable, given this is a full month after our semester ends.

## I. SCHOOL/COLLEGE/DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (SCDE) INITIATIVES

## A. Direct and Ongoing Involvement with/and Service to the Public Schools

| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Lenoir, Granville, Washington, Pitt |
| :--- | :--- |
| Start and End Dates $7 / 14 / 2019$; 7/18/2019, 7/30/2019, 8/29/2019 <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools Recruitment and Outreach: Donations of ECU logo and <br> educator preparation items for school recruitment events <br> Number of Participants $26,26,11,14$ <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities Early college and career awareness and recruitment |  |
| Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs | COE shared items for student to sparkinterest in coming to <br> ECU and to major in education |
| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Pitt County: DH Conley High School |
| Start and End Dates $9 / 23 / 2019$ <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools ECU Admissions NightAdmissions event for ECU <br> Number of Participants 41 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities Meeting with potential ECU students and families who wish <br> to major in educator preparation  |  |
| Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs | Explained Pirate Promise, admissions, financial aid, and <br> programmatic highlights; collected contact information for |
| LEAs/Sow-up <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Various School Systems represented |
| Start and End Dates | $9 / 27 / 2019 ; 10 / 26 / 2019 ; 12 / 6 / 2019$ |


| Priorities Identified in Collaboration with LEAs/Schools | COE Preview Day |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of Participants | 36;31;18 |
| Activities and/or Programs Implemented to Address the Priorities | Meeting with potential ECU students and families who wish to major in educator preparation |
| Summary of the Outcome of the Activities and/or Programs | Explained Pirate Promise, admissions, financial aid, and programmatic highlights; collected contact information for follow-up |
| LEAs/Schools with whom the institution Has Formal Collaborative Plans | Various School Systems represented |
| Start and End Dates | 9/28/2019 |
| Priorities Identified in Collaboration with LEAs/Schools | ECU Open House and COE Extended Open HOuse |
| Number of Participants | 455 |
| Activities and/or Programs Implemented to Address the Priorities | Meeting with potential ECU students and families who wish to major in educator preparation |
| Summary of the Outcome of the Activities and/or Programs | Explained Pirate Promise, admissions, financial aid, and programmatic highlights; collected contact information for follow-up |
| LEAs/Schools with whom the institution Has Formal Collaborative Plans | Wilmington, Raleigh, Durham, Greensboro, Charlotte various school systems represented in each event |
| Start and End Dates | 10/1/2019; 10/14/2019;10/15/2019; 10/16/2019;10/17/2019 |
| Priorities Identified in Collaboration with LEAs/Schools | Pirates in Your Town |
| Number of Participants | 340 |
| Activities and/or Programs Implemented | In conjunction with admissions, COE staffed a Table/booth for education degrees |


| to Address the <br> Priorities |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs | COE personnel shared degrees offered by ECU in educator <br> preparation, the education living and learning community <br> options, COE scholarships, along with admissions, and <br> finanacial aid. |
| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Various schools and districts within the Latham Clinical <br> Schools Network (43 districts) |
| Start and End Dates | 11/19/2019 |
| Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools | Middle School AVID Day |


| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Various schools and districts within the Latham Clinical <br> Schools Network (43 districts) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Start and End Dates $11 / 5 / 2019 ; 2 / 26 / 2020$ <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools High School AVID Day <br> Number of Participants $302 ; 423$ <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities AVID SE personnel and COE administration greeted <br> participants; breakout sessions were held on study tips, <br> admissions and financial aid, a college student panel, and a <br> campus tour <br> Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs AVID program participants explored one major university; <br> practiced their AVID skills and received information to assist <br> them in staying the course in school and looking forward to <br> being college and/or career ready <br> LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans Various Community Colleges <br> Start and End Dates 10/18/2019; 12/6/2019; 1/17/2020; 2/7/2020 <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools Transfer Tour Day: Increase transfer enrollment to ECU and <br> to ed prep progarms <br> Number of Participants 5, 7, 7, 7 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities Tour of campus facilities and overview of majors <br> Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs COE personnel shared with students about careers in <br> education and requirements to become a teacher <br> LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans Various schools and districts within the Latham Clinical <br> Schools Network (43 districts) <br> Start and End Dates 10/14/2019  |  |


| Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools | Keeping Clinical Teachers Up-to-Date with ECU/COE <br> educator preparation program priorities |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Participants 58 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities Clinical Teachers Conference <br> Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Keynote speaker- NCPOY, various break-out sessions on <br> classroom topics; lunch and closing session <br> LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans Various educators, statewide conference |  |
| Start and End Dates $11 / 6 / 2019$ <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools Mathematics Education Conference <br> Number of Participants 101 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities Table/booth staffed by COE personnel for education careers <br> and ECU college exploration <br> Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Conference goers received information about ECU UG, G, <br> EdD and alternative licensure programs <br> LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans Various, open to LCSN Districts <br> Start and End Dates $11 / 2 / 2019$ <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools iTeach Day <br> Number of Participants 65 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented Interview tips/practice for scholarships; educator preparation <br> program distinctions; college admissions, deadlines, GPA |  |


| to Address the <br> Priorities | requirements, field and clinical experiences, students <br> teaching, etc. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs | Participants received personal, small group contact with <br> faculty in program areas to support interest in coming to <br> ECU to become educators. |
| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Various/Pitt County Schools |
| Start and End Dates | 10/17/2019 |
| Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools | Counselor Connections Day: Counselors ask for updates <br> from university so they can be sure students have up-to-date <br> information regarding admissions, deadlines, FA, <br> scholarships |
| Number of Participants | 45 |
| Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities | Counselor Connections day provided for middle and high <br> school counselors in the region to be briefed on all things <br> ECU and COE |
| Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs | ECU Admissions, FA, support programs and COE faculty <br> and staff shared 2+2 degree completion programs, ELLC and <br> ECOS, scholarship deadlines, and program initiatives |
| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Various |
| Start and End Dates | 11/13/2019 through 11/15/2019 |
| Activities and/or <br> Programs |  |
| Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools | NC School Counselor Association Conference |


| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Johnston Community College |
| :--- | :--- |
| Start and End Dates $11 / 19 / 2019$ <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools Pirate Promise: <br> Number of Participants 12 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities COE personnel and Admissin personnel shared ECU <br> information  |  |
| Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs | ed prep program/degree information was shared; admissions, |
| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Onslow County: Jacksonville HS and Richlands HS |
| Start and End Dates $2 / 24 / 2020$ <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools COE degree Awareness and College Awareness <br> Number of Participants 61 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities Presentation to students interested in both ECU and COE <br> Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Participants received information and engaged in Q and A <br> LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans Wayne County Schools <br> Start and End Dates $2 / 6 / 2020$ |  |


| Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools | Wayne County Career Fair, Building Futures Together |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Participants 15 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities COE personnel staffed a table with ECU and COE related <br> items <br> Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs 15 students were engaged at the COE table, Q and A and <br> information were shared  |  |
| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Homeschool Association |
| Start and End Dates $2 / 15 / 2020$ <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools College Awareness <br> Number of Participants 15 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities Homeschooled children had a tour and information session of <br> ECU and COE <br> Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs COE personnel shared information and material about ed <br> prep programs and degrees. <br> LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans Pitt County Schools <br> Start and End Dates $2 / 19 / 2020$ <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools Career Fair <br> Number of Participants 302 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented Career Fair for CTE candidates regarding education <br> opportunities and college awareness |  |


| to Address the <br> Priorities |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs | Information and materials were shared |
| LEAs/Schools with <br> whom the institution <br> Has Formal <br> Collaborative Plans | Onslow County Schools |
| Start and End Dates $2 / 24 / 2020$ <br> Priorities Identified in <br> Collaboration with <br> LEAs/Schools Future Teachers of America support <br> Number of Participants 32 <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs Implemented <br> to Address the <br> Priorities Presentation to FTA Group <br> Summary of the <br> Outcome of the <br> Activities and/or <br> Programs COE presented information and shared materials |  |

## II. CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDENTS

## A. Number of Students Who Applied to the Educator Prep Program

| Gender | Number |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 193 |  |  |  |
| Female | 842 |  |  |  |
| Gender Neutral | 0 |  |  |  |
| Gender not Provided | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 3 5}$ |  |  |  |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  | Number |
| Asian | 8 |  |  |  |
| African-American | 255 |  |  |  |
| Am. Indian/Alaskan Native | 17 |  |  |  |
| Hispanic/Latino | 29 |  |  |  |


| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| White | 701 |
| Two or More Races | 0 |
| Race/Ethnicity Not Provided | 25 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 3 5}$ |

B. Headcount of students formally admitted to and enrolled in programs leading to licensure.

| Full-Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Gender Neutral |  |
| Undergraduate | Black, Not Hispanic Origin | 10 | Black, Not Hispanic Origin | 43 | Black, Not <br> Hispanic Origin |  |
|  | Am Indian/Alaskan Native | 2 | Am Indian/Alaskan Native | 2 | Am Indian/Alaskan Native |  |
|  | Asian | 2 | Asian | 4 | Asian |  |
|  | Hispanic/Latino | 2 | Hispanic/Latino | 12 | Hispanic/Latino |  |
|  | Native <br> Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander |  | Native <br> Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander |  | Native <br> Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander |  |
|  | White | 100 | White | 611 | White |  |
|  | Multi-Racial |  | Multi-Racial |  | Multi-Racial |  |
|  | Not Provided | 2 | Not Provided | 9 | Not Provided |  |
|  | Total | 118 | Total | 681 | Total | 0 |
| LicensureOnly | Black, Not <br> Hispanic Origin |  | Black, Not Hispanic Origin | 2 | Black, Not Hispanic Origin |  |
|  | Am Indian/Alaskan Native |  | Am Indian/Alaskan Native |  | Am Indian/Alaskan Native |  |
|  | Asian |  | Asian |  | Asian |  |
|  | Hispanic/Latino |  | Hispanic/Latino |  | Hispanic/Latino |  |
|  | Native <br> Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander |  | Native <br> Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander |  | Native <br> Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander |  |
|  | White | 1 | White | 2 | White |  |
|  | Multi-Racial |  | Multi-Racial |  | Multi-Racial |  |
|  | Not Provided |  | Not Provided |  | Not Provided |  |
|  | Total | 1 | Total | 4 | Total | 0 |



|  | Multi-Racial |  | Multi-Racial |  | Multi-Racial |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not Provided | 10 | Not Provided | 71 | Not Provided |  |
|  | Total | 217 | Total | 840 | Total | 0 |
| Residency | Black, Not <br> Hispanic Origin | 23 | Black, Not <br> Hispanic Origin | 102 | Black, Not <br> Hispanic Origin |  |
|  | Am Indian/Alaskan Native | 1 | Am Indian/Alaskan Native | 8 | Am Indian/Alaskan Native |  |
|  | Asian | 1 | Asian | 3 | Asian |  |
|  | Hispanic/Latino | 2 | Hispanic/Latino | 9 | Hispanic/Latino |  |
|  | Native <br> Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander |  | Native <br> Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander |  | Native <br> Hawaiian/Pacific <br> Islander |  |
|  | White | 59 | White | 186 | White |  |
|  | Multi-Racial |  | Multi-Racial |  | Multi-Racial |  |
|  | Not Provided | 2 | Not Provided | 4 | Not Provided |  |
|  | Total | 88 | Total | 312 | Total | 0 |

C. Program Completers and Licensed Completers (reported by IHE).

| Program Area | Bacc | ureate <br> e |  | sure $1 \mathrm{ly}$ | Resi | ency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC Completed program but has not applied for or is not eligible to apply for a license LC Completed program and applied for license | PC | LC | PC | LC | PC | LC |
| Prekindergarten | 7 | 10 | 1 | 10 |  |  |
| Elementary | 87 | 97 |  | 28 |  |  |
| Middle Grades | 12 | 7 | 1 | 61 |  |  |
| Secondary | 27 | 20 |  | 47 |  |  |
| Special Subjects | 67 | 66 |  | 26 |  |  |
| Exceptional Children | 18 | 19 |  | 57 |  |  |
| Vocational Ed | 5 | 4 |  | 9 |  |  |
| Special Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 223 | 223 | 2 | 238 | 0 | 0 |
| Comment or Explanation |  |  |  |  |  |  |

D. Undergraduate program completers in NC Schools within one year of program completion.

| 2018-2019 |  | Student <br> Teachers | Percent <br> Licensed | Percent <br> Employed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bachelor | East Carolina <br> University | 588 | 89 | 80 |
| Bachelor | State | 3617 | 83 | 70 |

E. Top 10 LEAs employing teachers affiliated with this college/university. Population from which this data is drawn represents teachers employed in NC in 2019-2020.

| LEA | Number of <br> Teachers |
| :--- | :---: |
| Wake County Schools | 1157 |
| Pitt County Schools | 1095 |
| Johnston County Public <br> Schools | 627 |
| Wayne County Public <br> Schools | 463 |
| Craven County Schools | 351 |
| Nash County Public <br> Schools | 305 |
| Beaufort County Schools | 299 |
| Lenoir County Public <br> Schools | 294 |
| Onslow County Schools | 282 |
| Charlotte-Mecklenburg <br> Schools | 270 |

F. Quality of students admitted to programs during report year.

| Measure | Baccalaureate |
| :--- | :---: |
| MEAN SAT Total | $1,203.20$ |
| MEAN SAT-Math | 564.29 |
| MEAN SAT-Verbal | 575.83 |
| MEAN ACT Composite | 25.81 |
| MEAN ACT-Math | 25.08 |
| MEAN ACT-English | 24.79 |


| MEAN CORE- <br> Combined | 498.91 |
| :--- | :---: |
| MEAN CORE-Reading | 178.67 |
| MEAN CORE-Writing | 170.36 |
| MEAN CORE-Math | 166.50 |
| MEAN GPA | 3.28 |
| * To protect confidentiality of student records, <br> mean scores based on fewer than five test <br> takers are not printed. |  |
| Comment or Explanation: |  |

G. Scores of student teachers on professional and content area examinations.

|  |  | 1st Year |  | 2nd Year |  | 3rd Year |  | 4th Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | License <br> Area | Test Takers | Pass <br> Rate | Test Takers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Test Takers | Pass <br> Rate | Tests Takers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2016 | Art | 7 | 100 | 7 | 100 | 8 | 87.5 | 8 | 100 |
| 2016 | Business Education | 12 | 91.67 | 12 | 91.67 | 12 | 91.67 | 12 | 91.67 |
| 2016 | Elementary (grades K6) | 165 | 76.36 | 169 | 91.12 | 171 | 95.91 | 171 | 97.08 |
| 2016 | English | 17 | 94.12 | 18 | 100 | 18 | 100 | 18 | 100 |
| 2016 | Family and Consumer Sciences | 7 | 100 | 7 | 100 | 7 | 100 | 7 | 100 |
| 2016 | French | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * |
| 2016 | Health and Physical Ed | 11 | 100 | 12 | 100 | 12 | 100 | 12 | 100 |
| 2016 | M.G. <br> Language <br> Arts | 11 | 81.82 | 11 | 90.91 | 11 | 100 | 11 | 100 |
| 2016 | M.G. Math | 13 | 100 | 13 | 100 | 13 | 100 | 13 | 100 |
| 2016 | M.G. Science | 14 | 85.71 | 15 | 86.67 | 15 | 86.67 | 15 | 86.67 |
| 2016 | M.G. <br> Social <br> Studies | 12 | 91.67 | 12 | 91.67 | 12 | 91.67 | 12 | 91.67 |


| 2016 | Marketing Education | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | Math (grades 912) | 11 | 45.45 | 11 | 81.82 | 11 | 81.82 | 11 | 81.82 |
| 2016 | Music | 9 | 55.56 | 11 | 63.64 | 13 | 76.92 | 14 | 92.86 |
| 2016 | Physical Education | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * |
| 2016 | Reading |  |  | 1 | * | 3 | * | 3 | * |
| 2016 | Science (grades 912) | 11 | 100 | 12 | 100 | 12 | 100 | 12 | 100 |
| 2016 | Social Studies (grades 912) | 8 | 87.5 | 11 | 90.91 | 11 | 90.91 | 11 | 90.91 |
| 2016 | Spanish | 4 | * | 4 | * | 4 | * | 4 | * |
| 2016 | Spec Ed: <br> Adapted <br> Curriculum | 16 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 16 | 100 | 16 | 100 |
| 2016 | Spec Ed: General Curriculum | 36 | 80.56 | 41 | 87.8 | 42 | 92.86 | 42 | 92.86 |
| 2016 | Institution Summary | 348 | 82.18 | 363 | 92.01 | 369 | 95.12 | 370 | 96.49 |
| 2017 | Art | 9 | 88.89 | 9 | 100 | 9 | 100 |  |  |
| 2017 | Business Education | 11 | 90.91 | 11 | 90.91 | 11 | 90.91 |  |  |
| 2017 | Elementary (grades K6) | 128 | 55.47 | 159 | 80.5 | 161 | 87.58 |  |  |
| 2017 | English | 12 | 100 | 13 | 100 | 13 | 100 |  |  |
| 2017 | Family and Consumer Sciences | 6 | 100 | 6 | 100 | 6 | 100 |  |  |
| 2017 | German | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 | * |  |  |
| 2017 | Health and <br> Physical <br> Ed | 15 | 86.67 | 17 | 100 | 17 | 100 |  |  |



| 2018 | English | 15 | 100 | 15 | 100 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | Family and Consumer Sciences | 15 | 100 | 15 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | French | 1 | * | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Health and Physical Ed | 15 | 100 | 15 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | M.G. <br> Language <br> Arts | 22 | 90.91 | 22 | 90.91 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | M.G. Math | 18 | 100 | 18 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | M.G. Science | 21 | 100 | 21 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | M.G. <br> Social <br> Studies | 11 | 90.91 | 11 | 90.91 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Marketing Education | 1 | * | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Math (grades 912) | 7 | 71.43 | 7 | 71.43 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Music | 7 | 57.14 | 7 | 71.43 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Physical <br> Education | 1 | * | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Reading | 1 | * | 2 | * |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Science <br> (grades 9- <br> 12) | 17 | 100 | 17 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Social <br> Studies <br> (grades 9- <br> 12) | 11 | 90.91 | 11 | 90.91 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Spanish | 8 | 75 | 8 | 87.5 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Spec Ed: <br> Adapted <br> Curriculum | 16 | 100 | 16 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Spec Ed: <br> General <br> Curriculum | 43 | 83.72 | 43 | 90.7 |  |  |  |


| 2018 | Institution Summary | 369 | 82.38 | 390 | 84.62 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | Art | 8 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Business <br> Education | 5 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Elementary (grades K6) | 153 | 81.05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | English | 16 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Family and Consumer Sciences | 15 | 86.67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Health and Physical Ed | 21 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | M.G. <br> Language <br> Arts | 13 | 92.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | M.G. Math | 26 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | M.G. Science | 15 | 86.67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | M.G. Social Studies | 12 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Math (grades 912) | 2 | * |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Music | 13 | 84.62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Physical Education | 2 | * |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Science (grades 912) | 16 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Social <br> Studies <br> (grades 9- <br> 12) | 5 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Spanish | 4 | * |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2019 | Spec Ed: <br> Adapted <br> Curriculum | 26 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Spec Ed: <br> General <br> Curriculum | 39 | 94.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Institution <br> Summary | $\mathbf{3 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 7 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |

* To protect confidentiality of student records, means scores based on fewer than five test takers are not printed.
'4th Year' pass rates are an extension provided by Legislation for 2015-2016 completers to meet testing requirements.
H. Time from admission into professional teacher education program until program completion.

| Full Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 or fewer semesters | 4 semesters | 5 semesters | 6 <br> semesters | 7 <br> semesters | $8$ <br> semesters |
| Baccalaureate Degree | 96 | 157 | 43 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| U Licensure Only | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Part Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 or fewer semesters | 4 semesters | 5 semesters | 6 <br> semesters | 7 <br> semesters | 8 semesters |
| Baccalaureate Degree | 3 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| U Licensure Only | 26 | 18 | 185 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Residency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Comment or Explanation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| We began our Residency Program in Fall 2019, there are no completers yet. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## I. Teacher Education Faculty.

| Appointed full-time in <br> professional education | Appointed part-time in <br> professional education, full- <br> time in institution | Appointed part-time in <br> professional education, not <br> otherwise employed by institution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 | 76 | 95 |

## J. Field Supervisors to Students Ratio (include both internships and residencies).

1:3.8
K. Teacher Effectiveness.

## Teacher Effectiveness

This section includes a summary of data collected through the North Carolina Educator Evaluation System (NCEES) for beginning teachers prepared by this institution. North Carolina defines a beginning teacher as one who is in the first year of teaching and holds a Standard Professional 1 license. The evaluation standards identify the knowledge, skills, and dispositions expected of teachers. School administrators rate the level at which teachers meet standards 1-5 as they move from ratings of 'developing' to 'distinguished'. Effective 20100-2011, at the end of the their third year beginning teacheres must be rated 'proficient' on standards 1-5 on the most recent Teacher Summary Ratin Form in order to be eligible for the Standard Professional 2 License. Performance on standard 6 is determined by a student growth value as calculated by the statewide growth model for educator effectiveness. The ratings for standard 6 are 'does not meet expected growth', 'meets expected growth', and 'exceeds expected growth'. New teachers are more likely to be rated lower on the evaluation standards as they are still learning and developing new skills and knowledge. Additional information about the North Carolina Educator Evaluation System is available at https://www.dpi.nc.gov/districts-schools/districts-schools-support/district-human-capital/educator-effectiveness-model. Institutions with feweer than five beginning teachers evaluated during the 2018-2019school year are reported as N/A. Additional information about Educator Effectiveness is available at:
https://www.dpi.nc.gov/districts-schools/districts-schools-support/district-human-capital/educator-effectiveness-model

Due to Covid-19 data for this section was unable to be collected.

